G.R.S. IX—9

Previous references.
mr 23-2288/405
" 33-21 37, 8, 14
18, 32-24

Later references.

(1923 . mr)

Reserve

File No. 23-33

Serial Nos. 3, 4, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15 216

60

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for April 24

UBJECT

The enhancement in the number of Sanitary Inspectors in mysore Dist and the abolition of the 6th post of Chief Sanitary Inspector

16. Do, The Sanitary Commr & the Pub Hlth mr el mysore
Nos 85-89-90 of 26-4-24.

Records and Correspondence of the Pub Hlth mr el mysore Stating that the mr el do not think it necessary to increase the no of Sany Inspectors and they have given their opinion re the Chief San Inspr etc.

A1679

G. R. S. 11] 1923-24

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Genl)

NOTES.

File No. 28-23

FILE HEADING.

Establishment and other charges of the Coyobecaty Municipality

From

The Sanr & Commr in Coyore.

No. C-127 Dated 11. 8. 23 Received 14. 8. 23

Diary No. 8807 Enclos. — Spare Copies. Serial No. 3

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Urges the necessity for the restoration of the original scale of Sanitary Inspectors in the Coyobecaty Municipality viz seven and States that there is no necessity for a Chief Sanr Inspr, when such post may be abolished and that the present incumbent may be rewarded by his post as Sanr Inspr for as soon as his probationary period expires.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

As the result of the work of retrenchment effected in the Coyore establishment of the Coyobecaty Mun the no of Sanitary Inspectors were reduced from 6 to 4, one of whom being appointed as Chief Sanr Inspector (Vide G. O. No. C 6894-57 Rl 23-21-9-23 the

B

NOTES—First Sheet.

807

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat. rl (File No. 23 of 1923

Serial No.

25th May 1922, and Mr. C. Basavaleng the Senior Inspector was appointed as Chief Sanit. Inspector on probation for a period of 6 months (Vide G. O. dd. 2 & 14 25-6/rl 23-5 dated 9th August 1922)

A

2 The Sanit. Commr. has now strongly recommended that as the present strength of Sanit. Inspectors may be raised to 7 as in the past and that the post of Chief Sanit. Inspector may be abolished as being unnecessary, the existing incumbent being reverted to his post of Sanit. Inspector.

B

3 The Sanit. Commr's recommendation amounts to a reconsideration of G.O. of the 25th May 1922 in respect of Sanit. Inspectors.

4 The Probationary period of Mr. Basavalinga Chetty, Chief Sanit. Inspector expires long ago and no proposals for his confirmation have been received.

Submitted for orders.

[illegible]
21.8.23
Rev Sec.)

5 The Municipal Council may be consulted

[illegible] 30/8/23

[illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(4

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. L 3 of 19 13

Serial No.

R

of the meeting of the municipal council held on 8-12-1913 it was decided that the Municipal Council reserve their in the matter till they have tried their original resolution no V of 8-7-13 till the end of the official year 1913 The original resolution referred to was about the appointment of Mr C. Basavalingappa Chetty as Sub Assistant Chief Sanitary Inspector on probation for 6 months. Again, in regard to the duties of chief Sanitary Inspector, the Council in their meeting held on the 15th December simply resorted the resolution of the Sub Committee.

See V.

(6) In regard to the retention of the services of a chief Sanitary Inspector the President & the municipal Council seem to be divided, the former appeals to the Sanitary Commissioner: (para 1-

(11) In para 6, the President says that the question of increasing the no of Sanitary Inspectors being placed before the Council.

(12) In para 8 the President says that a copy of this communication

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

3962

(5

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 23 of 19 23

Serial No.

forwarded to the Survey Commr for submitting his views to Govt.

(2) The receipt of the views of the Survey Commr with (if approved) be awaited and the Forest Re- quested to submit the resolution of the Govt Council in regard to the increasing the number of Survey Inspectors.

22.1.24.

AS 24/1/24

Secretary

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT. 23 JAN 1924 LOCAL SELF GVT. BRANCH

(3) The resolution of the Govt Council to the increase the number of Sanl Inspectors, may be awaited. The Residency may be asked to expedite the matter.

(4) Meanwhile the Land Comrs Engr may be asked to send his detailed estimate proposals &c. [illegible] asked to submit [illegible] Council [illegible]

(1) Draft letter to Collectors, Nilgiris, Coimbatore, Anantapur & Kurnool

Note—Contn. sheet.

(2) Draft at page 3

23.1.24.

3962/4162 (6

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 23 of 1923

Serial No.

17) 2 drafts submitted
JRA 25
24.1.24

brought back by hand

16 From the Sanitary Commissioner no C-6147-23 dt/25th Jan 24.
Report on the letter of the President city municipal Council, regarding the retention of the services of the Chief Sanitary Inspector of the municipality issued. The Sanitary Commissioner states that the resolution of the municipal council appears to ignore the main point viz., the desirability of doing away with a Chief Sanitary Inspector & utilising the money thus set free to enhance the no of Sanitary Inspectors for the city. There is already a draft addressed to the President city municipal Council put up in accordance with the orders of the Local Legislative Secretary in para 16 above regarding the increase in the no of Sanitary Inspectors of the city. ~~This may if approved be issued~~ - May that issue?
JRA 25
28/1/24
44 2) Issue the draft to the President

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Municipal File No. 93 of 1923

Serial No.	
	at once and put up the file again for orders. CSB 28.1.22.
11	To the President City Municipal Council No L.60768 31.1.22. Requests to expedite the resolution of the municipal council regarding the increase of the Sanitary Inspectors 21) Called for orders with reference to para 19 to 20 above. VRM CS 1/Feb/22
	22) The Collection containing Govt. No. L 6894-5/Rel 33-21-89 dt. 22.5.22, which has to be modified if the proposals of the Law Commr are accepted by Govt. does not now on file. It has been detached. A reference to it is necessary for the disposal of this question. Put it up at once CSB 1.2.22.
7	23. Submd. Municipal file 33 of 21 (Vols 7,8, etc) relating to the Retrenchment and establishment of the Corporation City Municipality & to the appointment of a Chief Sanitary Inspector to the city is put up. CS 6/2/22.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-21

(8)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Municipal File No. 23 of 1923.

Serial No.

24. The points for consideration are the recommendations of the San^{y} Com^{r} (1) for the abolition of the Chief Sanitary Inspector's post and (2) for increasing the number of Sanitary Inspectors to seven in the Mysore City.

F 25. The creation of the post of Chief San^{y} Inspector was sanctioned in G.O. No. L 6894-5 - Mel 33-21-24 & 25.5.22 and the appointment of Mr. C. Basavalinga Chetty to this post on probation for six months was sanctioned

A in the G.O. No. L 1425-6/Mel 23-22-5 & 7.8.22. The Municipal Council have not sought for or obtained sanction of Govt for the continuance of this appointment beyond the period of probation, but have been continuing the appointment without any authority for the same -

26. The Mel C who were asked to furnish their views on the proposals of the San^{y} Com^{r} in para 24 above have reserved their opinion till they have tried the appointment of Chief San^{y} Inspector till the end of the official year 1923-24. ~~The~~

27. The question of the assignment of duties to the Chief San^{y} Inspector has not been settled by the Council and it is somewhat strange that they did ~~not~~ not do so earlier

Note—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Jud. File No. 23 of 1925

Serial No.

although the post was filled up ~~it~~ a year & half ago.

28. Both the Offg Asst permanent Law? Com?. are strongly of opinion that the post of Chief Law? Inspector may be abolished and the number of Law? Inspectors increased to seven & the President of the Jud. Cl also agrees in this view. He has been requested to expedite the resolution of the Jud. Cl in the matter of increasing the number of Law? Inspectors.

29. May the resolution of the Jud. Cl be awaited or may the Commr be requested to consider the Law? Com?s proposals at once & forward their views.

C&P 8.2.24

L & R Secy)

Draft 30th next

30) Draft

31) Draft C&P 7.2.25

32) Draft order for approval.

JSB 7/2/25 L & R Secy)

C&P 9.2.25

Approved

JSB 11/2

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT. 8 FEB. 1924 LEGIL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT. 11 FEB. 1925 HOME—GENERAL BRANCH LEGIL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

53 44 (10

Abolition of the post of Chief Land Insp. Hyderabad & increase in the Hyderabad Municipality & Land Inspectors

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Inward File No. 23 of 19 25

Serial No.	
	Issue the draft Cl 12.2.24
13	20 The President Hyderabad City Council L 66/22 of 14-2-24 Calls for the views of the Council in the matter of increasing the number of Sanitary Inspectors for the Revenue Ward & the abolition of the post of Chief Land Inspector, Hyderabad City.
	From the President.
15	No 103 of 24-5-24. Submits the resolution of the Council called for in Sr 13.
	The resolution of the Council is as follows:-
X	"Resolution no III dated 8-12-23 that the Municipal Council reserve their opinion till the matter till they have tried their original resolution no V dated 8 th July 24 till the end of the official year 1923-24, may be adhered to
R	Submit for orders
	AS 3/4 May JBK Addl Secy)
	JB 1/4/24

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

5529 11

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

NF File No. 23 of 1923

Serial No.

This resolution is contrary to the recommendation of the Sanitary Commissioner & to the obvious of the President.

The recommendation of the Sanitary Commissioner may therefore be considered favourably by the Member. 8526 2/4

I do not think that we can interfere in this matter. The employment & non employment of Chief Sanitary Inspectors is a matter entirely within the discretion of the Municipalities (see Govt. of India Resolution No. 22-4 dated 19 March 1923) & I do not think that supposed if there the employment of Chief Sanitary Inspectors in this area & the Sanitary Commissioner if a Municipal Council entertains a man for that post, Govt cannot very well find fault with the decision of the Council. However please see the draft.

7/4 8/4

Flag T-para. 6.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Bo. C. 9. APR 1924
Reg. No. 331

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

5529

12

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat. MA File No. 23 of 19 23

Serial No.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

5559

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 23 of 19 23

Serial No.	
	L 8589-90 dt 25/4/24. To Secy Bihar, Govt civil supply Regns re correspondence.
B	

Note—Contn. sheet.

14

Letter No.L.746/San.45-22-4 dated 28th July 1923 from the Revenue Secretary to Government to the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore.

With reference to your letter No. 96 dated 12/26th February 1923 requesting that on account of the financial stringency, the services of an experienced, qualified and competent Health Officer on a smaller pay than that of 1st grade be lent to the Municipal Council, I am directed to state that Government regret that they are unable to comply with the request of the Municipal Council.

M E M O NO. L.747 /San Dated 28th July 1923 Bangalore.

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore for information with reference to his letter No.U.449-3 dated 16/17th March 1923.

Copy

2.2.24

B.R.S.

15

Vi91 **Department of Public Health in Mysore.**

OFFICE OF THE SANITARY COMMISSIONER
WITH THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 11th August *1923*

No. C127

14 AUG 1923
LOCAL SELF GOVT BRANCH

From B. MAHOMED USMON, ESQ., L.M.& S.,
Offg. Sanitary Commissioner with the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, BANGALORE.

To

T. E. JEYARAM IYER, ESQ., B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, P A N G A L O R

Sir

G With reference to your Memo No. L.747 dated the 28th July 1923 communicating the orders of Government on the propcsed appointment of a Health Officer of a lower grade for the Mysore City, I have the honor to bring to notice of Government the urgent need for enhancing the number of Sanitary Inspectors in the Mysore City. Considering the size of the Mohallas, the population, the active expansion of the City in all directions and the periodical concourse of people during the Birthday and Dasara festivities, I am of opinion that the original scale of Sanitary Inspectors, Viz., seven should at once be restored. I find that the B recent changes consequent on retrenchments involve not only a reduction of Sanitary Inspectors from seven to four but also the creation of a new appointment of Chief Sanitary Inspector from among the four, thus virtually diminishing the working efficiency to the lowest ebb.

2. The City has a population of 83,000 and consists of seven Mohallas with distinct extensions attached to most of them, such as Vani Vilas Mohalla, Narasimharaj Mohalla and Chamundi Extension &c. It is impossible that three Sanitary Inspectors can supervise the work of the subordi-

From

THE SANITARY COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

46

subordinate and menial staff in seven Mohallas, as the fourth Inspector who is now Chief Sanitary Inspector is I believe, expected to supervise the work of the three Sanitary Inspectors. You will, I am sure, agree that this appointment is most superfluous and that the interposition of a Chief Sanitary Inspector between the Health Officer and the Staff serves no object whatsoever. It is a purposeless and obsolete arrangement which has been done away with in the Bangalore City and on the Kolar Gold Fields. There is no reason whatsoever why it should be revived and perpetuated in the Mysore City.

3. If instead of retaining the Chief Sanitary Inspector as such, he is made to work as a Sanitary Inspector in common with the other men of his class, the actual output of work can be increased. I believe the official has not yet been confirmed and I strongly recommend ~~and~~ the discontinuance of the appointment as soon as the present probationery or tentative term expires.

4. Soliciting the early orders of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Sanitary Commissioner.

17

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to £807.

Section 42(

File No. 23 - 23

Serial No. 4

General and Revenue Secretariat

(L. S. 2)

, *the* 192

No. £2100 cyclone

Date of issue 15/9/23

Signed by .....

To

the President,
City Municipal Council,
Mysore.

Sir

In forwarding a copy of letter No. C. 27 dt the 11th August 1923 from the City Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore bringing to the notice of Govt the urgent need for increasing the no. of Sanitary Inspectors in the Mysore City Limits, I am directed to request you to be so good as to

over.

M D C632 - G B—1850—16-8-23

to obtain and submit the views of the Naval Council on the proposals of the Sanctions Committee

J'charge le [illegible]
7.7.15 Rey Secy

8/5
8.9.15

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

3962-3

mnl 23

No. 65
Exec. Time

Office of the President,
City Municipal Council, Mysore.
12th January 1924.

From

Rajasabhabhushana Diwan Bahadur
Srikantesvara Aiyar, B.A., B.L.,
President, City Municipal Council,
Mysore.

To

B. Nagappa, Esquire., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary, to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, (Local and
Legislative Departments).

Sir.

mnl 23-23-9

With reference to your letter No.L.2100/Ml.23-23-4 dated 15th September 1923 calling for the views of the Municipal Council on the Sanitary Commissioner's letter No.C.127 dated 11th August 1923 in the matter of abolishing the appointment of the Chief Sanitary Inspector, and increasing in its stead the number of Sanitary Inspectors in view of the active expansion of the City in all directions, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the Council's Resolution No.III dated 8th December 1923 on the subject.

2. All the important references relating to the appointments in the Sanitary Department from the time it was organised in 1905 to its re-organization sanctioned by Government in 1922, were brought to the notice of the Council for their information, and it was explained to them in full as to how the appointment of a Chief Sanitary Inspector for the City under the arrangements of a full time and specially qualified and competent Health Officer

for the City, was superfluous, and how the interposition of a Chief Sanitary Inspector between the Health Officer and the subordinate Staff was undesirable in the interests of efficient work and discipline, and how such an interposition, likely to lead only to friction and waste of time was never tried in Bangalore or even in Kolar Gold Fields Sanitary Board. The Resolution of the former Council (No.I dated 19th January 1918 copy enclosed for reference) holding that there was no necessity for a Chief Sanitary Inspector in the City of Mysore, and the fact that the larger Municipality of Bangalore City and the Kolar Gold Fields Sanitary Board, (comprising a large number of scattered areas of camps of coolies), have also done away with the appointment of Chief Sanitary Inspectors, were also brought to the notice of the Council.

3. Notwithstanding these facts, the Council desire that the Chief Sanitary Inspector should be assigned a portion of the duties of supervision till now performed by the Health Officer, and act as an intermediary between the Health Officer and the Sanitary Staff. An arrangement like this is not conducive to smooth working and is sure to dislocate the regular routine duties and embarassingly interfere with the morale and discipline of the subordinate Staff.

4. I therefore agree with the Sanitary Commissioner in holding that the interposition of a Chief Sanitary Inspector between the whole-time Health Officer and the subordinate Staff in a compact and defined area constituted as a City Municipality, is not only both superfluous and purposeless, but also likely to lead to much confusion and irritation amongst the subordinates causing deterioration of the service.

5. In sanctioning the re-organization of the Sanitary Department, the Government have further distinctly laid down that the cadre of Chief Sanitary Inspector should be recruited from the grade of Sub-Assistant Surgeons duly qualified and competent for Sanitary work. The present Chief Sanitary Inspector in this Municipality is only appointed on probation for 6 months which has already elapsed, and is only qualified for an ordinary Sanitary Inspector's post, and as such, it would seem that the observation made by the Sanitary Commissioner in Para 3 of his letter to Government referred to above, that instead of retaining the Chief Sanitary Inspector as such, he may be made to work as a Sanitary Inspector in common with the other men of his class whereby the actual output of work will be increased, is reasonable.

6. With the advent of the Railway Offices and Work Shop, the expansion of the City has already assumed proportions beyond the estimated calculations of the City Improvement Trust Board. There were till a year ago 7 Sanitary Inspectors even at a time when the Extensions of the City had not reached the present developments, and to manage the Health requirements of the City with the now limited number of 4 Sanitary Inspectors counting the Chief Sanitary Inspector also for duties of a Sanitary Inspector has become impossible. Accordingly a proposal for increasing the number of Sanitary Inspectors is being placed before the Council.

7. On coming to consider what duties might be assigned to the Chief Sanitary Inspector if he were to be continued as such, as per Resolution No.III referred to in paragraph 1 above, the Council appointed a Sub-Committee to go into the matter and submit a report as

22

per Resolution No.IV of the same date viz 8th December 1923 (vide copy enclosed). The Sub-Committee met on the 13th idem, and copies of their proceedings and Resolutions herein enclosed for ready reference speak for themselves. Their Report coming before the Council Meeting on the 15th idem, the Council merely Recorded it (vide copy of Resolution No.II dated 15th December 1923 enclosed).

With reference to the 1st Resolution of the Sub-Committee's Report referred to in paragraph (vii), that all the duties set forth in Mr. Manker's Note or Memo be assigned or given to the Chief Sanitary Inspector in toto, it has to be stated that the said Memo was passed so long ago as 1908 when Mr. Manker had just come out fresh from the Edinburgh College and was not conversant even with the local languages. With a view to advise him on matters relating to Municipal Regulations and Rules and local requirements and to assist him in his work till he gained experience, it was necessary to appoint another experienced Officer. As the services of Mr. Govindarajalu Naidu, a qualified Medical Officer of the grade of Hospital Assistant (now Sub-Assistant Surgeon) who had from the commencement of plague operations been in-charge of the Sanitary Staff were available at the time, he was kept on, pending his retirement, as a sort of Assistant to the Health Officer, under the designation of Chief Sanitary Inspector and he was entrusted with almost all the duties of the Health Officer. Moreover, the Memo drawn up for distribution of work and rules framed in Mr. Manker's time for office guidance had no force of Law under the Municipal Regulation as they do not seem to have been approved by Government, as every Rule ought to be, if it has to be brought into force (vide Section 46 (j)a. of the Municipal Regulation). These points had also been

clearly brought to the notice of the Sub-Committee.

8. A copy of this communication has been forwarded to the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore, for submitting his views on the subject to Government.

9. Under these circumstances, I request that you may be so good as to place the subject before Government for their Orders, and communicate the same as early as possible.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A. Kaikaraja

for the President.

MKV.

Encl I 24

Proceedings of the 13th (Special) meeting of the City Municipal Council, Mysore, held in the New Municipal Office, on Saturday the 8th December 1923 at 8.30 A.M.

Present.

Rajasabhabhushana Diwan Bahadur,

C. Srikanteswara Aiyar, B.A., B.L., President.

1. S.P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
2. Mahomed Sait, Esq.,
3. B. Basavaradhya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
4. K. Dhanakotichetty, Esq.,
5. Y. Virupakshiah, Esq.,
6. Rev. J. Cochet.,
7. V. Thiruvengada Modaliyar, Esq.,
8. K. Purushothama Anandagiri Goswamy, Esq.,
9. Sreekantachar, Esq.,
10. M. Narayana Swamy, Esq.,
11. N. Setwaji Rao, Esq.,
12. T.S. Siddappa, Esq., L.M & S..
13. K.L. Vasudeviah, Esq.,
14. M.K. Madhava Rao, Powar, Esq.,
15. Lokaseva niratha Amble Anniah Pandit, Esq.,
16. P.S. Anantha Rao, Esq.,
17. H. Lingaraj Urs, Esq.,
18. Rev. E.S. Edwards.,

Absent.

1. Khan Bahadur, P. Pallonji, Esq.,
2. Mahomed Jaffer Saheb, Esq.,
3. Charles Theobald, Esq.,
4. M. Madappa, Esq.,
5. C.M. Abdul Aziz Pasha, Esq.,
6. Dharmaprakasa D. Banumiah, Esq.,
7. Sowcar V. Thimmiah, Esq.,
8. Tippu Sultan Alli Khan, Esq.,
9. Settappa Siddappa, Esq.,
10. B. Munivenkatappa, Esq.,
11. U.R. Lingoji Rao, Esq.,

x x

III. Mr. Mahomed Sait in rising to move the proposition that the Chief Sanitary Inspector be given the duties mentioned on pages 1 and 2 of the Rules for the guidance of the out-door staff of the Health Department, Mysore City, framed during the time of Mr. V.L. Manker, the then Health Officer, said that this subject may now be commenced.

The President drew the attention of the Council to the kindred subject referred to in Revenue Secretary's letter No.L.2108/21, 23-23-4 dated 15th

September 1923 wherein the views of the Municipal Council were asked, on the Sanitary Commissioner's letter in the matter of doing away with the appointment of the Chief Sanitary Inspector and increasing in its stead the number of Sanitary Inspectors in view of the active expansion of the City in all directions, and suggested that this subject might also be considered along with the proposition moved by Mr. Mahomed Sait.

Mr. K.Purushothamanandagirigoswami observed that the two subjects were shown separately on the agenda and that the Government letter was a later subject which should be considered in its own place.

Mr. S.P. Rajagopalachari explained that the two subjects were kindred ones and that it would be more rational and consistent to consider the question of assigning the duties to the Chief Sanitary Inspector, after considering whether his appointment as suggested by the Sanitary Commissioner was to be done away with or not, and Mr. Y. Virupakshiah concurred.

The President on ascertaining the sense of the Council, suggested that the Government letter may be considered first.

Then Mr. Y. Virupakshiah proposed that the Council's Resolution No.V dated 8th July 1922 appointing a Chief Sanitary Inspector might first be tried and then the Municipal Council give their opinion on the letter received from Government later on.

Mr. P.S.Anantha Rao, seconded Mr. Y.Virupakshiah.

Rev. E.S.Edwards regretted he could not fall in with these views and quoted from the Sanitary Commissioner's letter that the interposition of the Chief Sanitary

Inspector between the Health Officer and the staff serves no object whatsoever and that it is a purposeless and obsolete arrangement which has been done away with in the Bangalore City and on the Kolar Gold Fields", and added that the Chief Sanitary Inspector's appointment should also be done away with here as suggested by the Sanitary Commissioner.

Rev. J. Cochet supported Rev. E.S.Edwards.

There being difference of opinion on the subject, it was put to vote- 15 voted for trying the original Resolution of the Council till the end of the official year, 2 voted against it and 2 remained neutral.

It was accordingly resolved that the Municipal Council reserve their opinion in the matter, till they have tried their original Resolution No.V dated 8th July 1922 till the end of the official year 1923-1924. "

x x

Sd. C. Srikantesvara Aiyar,
President.

"COPY"

[signature]

f. President.

Encl II 27

Proceedings of the 15th (Adjourned) meeting of the Municipal Council held on Saturday the 19th January 1918.

Present

1. T.G.Lukshmana Rao,Esquire., L.C.E.,(President).
2. G. Narayana Rao, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,Vice-President.
3. Rajakaryaprasaktha B. Ramakrishna Rao,Esquire.
4. J.V.Karve,Esquire., D.P.H.etc.,
5. Lokasevaniratha Amble Anniah Pandit,Esq.,
6. M.S.Krishnaswami Rao,Esquire.,
7. N. Desekachar,Esquire., B.A.
8. C. Nanjappa,Esquire.,B.A.
9. V. Thiruvengada Modaliar,Esquire.,
10. Madappa, Esquire.,
11. M. Anantharajaiah,Esquire.,
12. B.R.Krishnamachar,Esq., B.A., B.L.,
13. R.G.Hardakar,Esquire.,
14. Dharmaprakasa D. Banumiah,Esquire.,
15. N.Srikantiah,Esquire.,
16. M.H.Rangaiengar,Esquire.,
17. A. Krishna Rao,Esquire., B.A.,B.L.,
18. Ganavisarada Sangeetha Vidwan B. Krishnappa,Esq.,
19. T.M.Siddappa,Esquire.,
20. C.Narasimhaiah,Esquire.,B.A., B.L.,
21. Mahomed Jaffer Saheb,Esquire.,

Absent.

1. The Amildar, Mysore Taluk.
2. Mahomed Sait,Esquire.,
3. Charles Theobald,Esquire.,
4. Rao Bahadur M.C.Rangaiengar,Esq., B.A.,
5. Abdul Aziz Pasha,Esquire.,
6. H. Seetharamiah,Esquire.,
7. M.Venkatakrishnaiah,Esquire.,
8. Rev. H. Gulliford.,
9. K. Chandrasekhariah,Esquire., B.A., B.L.,

I. Government Order No.2582-99/Ml.189-16-4 dated 10th November 1917 re the pay and prospects of Sanitary Inspectors.

Resolved that the Scheme generally be approved - except that there is no necessity for a Chief Sanitary Inspector in the City of Mysore.

x x

Sd. T. Lukshmana Rao,

President.

COPY "

[illegible]

President.

Encl. III 28

Proceedings of the 13th (Special) meeting of the City Municipal Council, Mysore, held in the New Municipal Office, on Saturday the 8th December 1923 at 8.30 A.M.

Present.

Rajasabhabhushana Diwan Bahadur,

C.Srikantesvara Aiyar, B.A., B.L., President.

1. S.P.Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
2. Mahomed Sait, Esq.,
3. B.Basavaradhya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
4. K.Dhanakotichetty, Esq.
5. Y. Virupakshiah, Esq.
6. Rev.J. Cochet.
7. V. Thiruvengada Modaliar, Esq.,
8. K.Purushothama Anandagiri Goswamy, Esq.,
9. Sreekantachar, Esq.,
10. M.Narayanaswami, Esq.,
11. N.Setwaji Rao, Esq.,
12. T.S. Siddappa, Esq., L.M & S.,
13. M.L. Vasudeviah, Esq.,
14. M.K. Madhava Rao, Powar, Esq.
15. Lokasevaniratha Amble Anniah Pandit, Esq.,
16. P.S. Anantha Rao Esq.,
17. H.Lingaraj Urs, Esq.,
18. Rev.E.S. Edwards.,

Absent.

1. Khan Bahadur P.Pallonji, Esq.,
2. Mahomed Jaffer Saheb, Esq.,
3. Charles Theobald, Esq.,
4. M. Madappa, Esq.,
5. C.M. Abdul Aziz Pasha, Esq.,
6. Dharmaprakasa D. Banumiah, Esq.
7. Sowcar V. Thimmiah, Esq.
8. Tippu Sultan Alli Khan, Esq.,
9. Settappa Siddappa, Esq.,
10. B. Munivenkatappa, Esq.,
11. U.R. Lingoji Rao, Esq.,

x x

" IV. Coming to the subject of defining the duties of the Chief Sanitary Inspector, it was resolved that a Committee consisting of the following gentlemen be appointed to consider, and report upon, the duties to be given to the Chief Sanitary Inspector-

1. The President,
2. The Health Officer,
3. Mr. H. Lingaraj Urs,
4. Mr. T.S.Siddappa,
5. Mr. Mahomed Sait,
6. Mr.K.Purushothamanandagiri Goswamy.
7. Mr. B.Basavaradhya,B.A. B.L.,
8. Mr. P.S.Anantha Rao &
9. Mr. K. Dhanakotichetty. "

x x

Sd. C.Srikantesvara Aiyar,
President.

"Copy"

President.

Encl IV 29

Proceedings of the Sub-Committee appointed by the Mysore City Municipal Council, for considering the duties of the Chief Sanitary Inspector, held on Thursday the 13th December 1923.

Present.

1. Mr. B Basavaradhya, B.A.B.L.,
2. Mr. Mahomed Sait,
3. Mr. B.Purushothamanandagirigoswamy
4. Mr. M. Dhanakotishetty.,
5. Mr. H. Lingaraj Urs.,
6. Mr. T.S.Siddappa, L.M & S.,
7. Mr. P.S.Ananta Rao.
8. Dr. J.V.Mascarenhas, M.B.,C.M., Health Officer.
9. Mr. M.S.Hayagriva Rao,B.A., Chief Officer, was also present to furnish any information that may be needed.

Proposed by Mr. B. Basavaradhya and seconded by Mr. Mahomed Sait and approved by all that Mr. P.S.Ananta Rao do take the chair.

I. The note of observations by the President sent through the Health Officer dealing with several aspects of the case on the different orders of Government and other papers referred to therein were read and the portions marked by the President together with his remarks on the margin thereof were also carefully read. The sections of the Municipal Regulation quoted in it were also duly considered.

II. The Health Officer was invited first to give his opinion. He explained that the Chief Sanitary Inspector should not be an intervening Officer between himself and the Sanitary Inspectors and in assigning the duties to the Chief Sanitary Inspector no power of supervision over other Sanitary

Inspectors and the Staff working under the Sanitary Inspectors should be given to him.

That the Chief Sanitary Inspector should be regarded as equal to other Sanitary Inspectors and must confine himself to his mohalla and attend to any other special works which may be allotted to him.

III. Mr. B. Basavaradhya argued that the Chief object for investing the Chief Sanitary Inspector with duties and functions enumerated in Mr. Manker's Memo was to give the Health Officer greater facilities and help in the disposal or routine work such as the checking of diaries and bringing all important matters or defects prominently to the notice of the Health Officer for his orders, thus releasing him i.e. the Health Officer to devote himself more ardently to important duties connected with the safety of the City, research work, and think out useful and practicable schemes for the Sanitary improvements of the City.

It was obvious therefore that the object contemplated was not to weaken his hands or lessen his responsibilities or authority. So far as he was able to judge no legal difficulties could be perceived or any necessity arise for reference to Government for orders being obtained.

The question was simply the apportionment of the duties between the several subordinate officers under the Health Officer and all under his direct control.

IV. Mr. T.R.Siddappa said that the Chief Sanitary Inspector may be placed in direct charge of the Devaraja Mohalla and all the Markets in the City. He may also be given the supervision of collection of Revenue and

Sanitary matters of the Markets.

The Chief Sanitary Inspector may be permitted to go round all other mohallas of the City and bring to the notice of the Health Officer all defects observed by him for necessary orders being issued by the Health Officer.

The Chief Sanitary Inspector should not himself issue any orders or directions to the Sanitary Inspectors or their subordinates of other Mohallas. The diaries of the Sanitary Inspectors should continue to be submitted by them direct to the Health Officer and not through the Chief Sanitary Inspector.

The distribution of the staff according to the requirements of the various mohallas should rest with the Health Officer and not with the Chief Sanitary Inspector. With these qualifications he agreed that the other duties may be assigned to the Chief Sanitary Inspector.

Mr. H. Lingaraj Urs agreed with Dr. T.S.Siddappa.

Mr. E.Purushothamananagirigoswamy said that he was in full agreement with the views expressed by Mr. Basaveradhya and supported him completely.

V. Mr. K. Bhanakotichetty explained that when the proposals were formulated in the retrenchment Committee, all the points referred to by the previous speakers were fully considered from different angles. The conclusions arrived at by them were approved by the Council and then submitted to Government for orders. The assignment of duties to the Chief Sanitary Inspector were based on the views of an experienced and qualified Health Officer. It then never occurred to the Committees to believe that it would be weakening the authority of the Health Officer in any way.

After all, this was only a trial in a fair way that was being urged for finding out how the scheme would work. He saw no difficulties of any sort to give effect to the proposals and that we should not conjure up oppositions or legal difficulties and he also supported Mr. Basavaradhya.

VI. Mr. Mahomed Sait said that the Committee should confine itself to the consideration of the subject matter vis the assignment of duties to the Chief Sanitary Inspector and not travel beyond.

He was not at all sure that there were any illegalities to face or deal with anything contrary to rules, Regulations or even policies. At present the Health Officer visited one mohalla each day in a week and that in the mornings. The staff knew very well his programme. It is only natural therefore to presume that they would be extra careful to keep each mohalla to be visited, clean and tidy for the day. In the afternoons the subordinates hardly did any work. There was practically no supervision over them . They had not any fear of being taken to task for careless--ness or negligence of the work. Moreover the Sanitary Inspectors were in the Health Office in the afternoons Conservancy work thus suffered in many respects. It was therefore to remedy these defects which has to be considered now. The Chief Sanitary Inspector when given the duties contemplated in the Memo of Mr. Manker would be a real help to the Health Officer. He would be able to find out how far the orders of the Health Officer are carried out and bring all failures readily to the notice of the Health Officer himself. This would ensure the safety of the City and assure the

Citizens that their interests were being guarded in all Sanitary respects. He also urged that the Chief Sanitary Inspector may be placed in direct charge of all the markets in all Sanitary matters only.

VII. After the above discussion the following resolutions were proposed and adopted-

I. Proposed by Mr. K.Purushothamanandagirigoswamy.

" That all the duties set-forth in Mr.Mauker's note or memo be assigned and given to the Chief Sanitary Inspector in toto"

B. Basaveradhya seconded it.

Mr. T.S.Siddappa proposed the following amendment

" The functions mentioned in paras I & II of the memo should not be given to the Chief Sanitary Inspector." This was seconded by Mr.H.Lingaraj Urs.

The amendment was first put to the Vote- 5 including the Health Officer were in favour of the amendment and 4 against it and so it was lost. Then the original resolution was voted for. 4 in favour of it and 5 against it.

The Chairman did not take part in voting.

II, Mr. H.Lingaraj Urs proposed that the Chief Sanitary Inspector be placed in direct charge of all Markets in all sanitary matters. This was seconded by Mr. T.S.Siddappa and carried unanimously, the Health Officer being neutral.

P.S.Ananth Rao.
Chairman, Sub-Committee"

could be disposed of by the meeting and that all those which could not be so disposed of by them, may be allowed to stand over. This was seconded by Mr. Y. Virupakshiah and supported by Messrs. T. S.Siddappa, Mahomed Sait and K.Dhanakoti Chetty. The proposition for adjournment was put to vote. 5 voted for adjournment and 8 were for holding the meeting. (after the voting was over Mr. M.L. Vasudeviah came in)."

X X X

" II. Sub-Committee Report regarding the duties of the Chief Sanitary Inspector.

The Proceedings of the Sub-Committee and the Resolutions passed by it were read to the Council in detail and were recorded".

X X X

Sd/- P.S. Anantha Rao,
Chairman.

Mysore.
15th December 1923.

" COPY "

for President

36

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH IN MYSORE.

No. C 5472

OFFICE OF THE SANITARY COMMISSIONER
with the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore, dated 24th January 1924.

25

From

DR. H.B. MYLVAGANAM, F.R.C.S.,(ENGD.),
Sanitary Commissioner with the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, BANGALORE.

To

B. NAGAPPA, ESQ., Bar-at-law,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments, BANGALORE.

45

Mel. 23-3-10

With reference to letter No. 64 dated the 12th January 1924, addressed to you by the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore, on the subject of abolishing the appointment of the Chief Sanitary Inspector and increasing, in its stead, the number of Sanitary Inspectors in view of the active expansion of the City in all directions, I have the honor to state that the resolutions appear to me to ignore the main point at issue, Viz., the desirability of doing away with the post of Chief Sanitary Inspector and utilising the money thus set free, to enhance the number of Sanitary Inspectors for the City. The key-note of the deliberations is struck by the introduction of a proposition to find duties for the new appointment, in the face of the Health Officer's assurance that he could manage the Department himself without the assistance of an Officer of the status of a Chief Sanitary Inspector. Now if any one is competent to regulate the work and apportion the duties among the various subordinates in the Health Department, it ought to be the Health Officer and it is surprising to find the matter being taken out of his hands to be considered by the Council. I have carefully gone through the resolutions of the Sub-Committee as well as of the Council and find my opinion unake- unaltered as to the necessity of abolishing at the earliest possible date, a costly appointment which serves no purpose other than being a drag on the real conduct of business in the Health Department in the

37

the City. What is urgently needd needed is the posting of 3 more Sanitary Inspectors for different Mohallas. The present staff of 4 Sanitary Inspectors is quite inadequate for the extensive work thay are called upon to do not only during normal times but more especially during the Dasara and Birthday Festivities when a large concourse of people flock there from all par of Mysore.

The Council has not realised the danger of undermanning thi branch of the Municipal service, but their whole attention seems to be centred on the problem of finding justification for the retention of an entirely unnecessary and superfluous appointment.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. B. Mysorigarum

SANITARY COMMISSIONER.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 3.9.11

Section Genl
File No. 23—23
Serial No. 11

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 192

No. 606

Date of issue 21.1.11

Signed by .....

To The President
City Municl. Cl. Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to para 6 of your letter no 65 of 17th Jan 1911, stating that the question of increasing the no. of Sanitary Inspectors is being placed before the Municipal Council, I am directed to request you to expedite the matter.

I have the

over.

W D 0622 - GPB—1000K—16-8 28

20.1.11 Ltr to Govt sent

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

2.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 4662

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *192* .

Section Mun

File No.

Serial No. 23-23 13

No. L64 Mun Mysore

Date of issue 14/2/24 To The President, City Muni Cl. Mysore

Signed by Mun CAO

Sir

With refe to your letter no 65-8/12 in Jan 24 regarding the abolition of the post of the Chief Sanitary Inspector ~~of the Municipal Council~~ Mysore and increasing the no of Sanitary Inspectors in the Mysore City, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter C. 647-2 of 22nd/25th Jan 24 from the Govt

WD 0692-G.P.B—1500C—16-8-28

CSH 13/2

from the Sanitary Commissioners
~~reporting on upon letter of~~
to request you to be so good
as to place the Sanitary
Commissioners' proposals
at once before the Municipal
Council and forward their
views for the use of Govt

I have &c

C&R
9.2.14. Sd. Sig to Govt

J 18/
11/2/14

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

3591

CENTRAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT 5529 28 MAR. 1924 LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

41

No. 183
Enc.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, MYSORE.

Dated..24th..Mar..1924

From,

RAJASABHABHUSHANA DIWAN BAHADUR,
C. SRIKANTESVARA AIYAR, B. A., B. L.,
PRESIDENT, CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
MYSORE.

To,

B. Nagappa, Esquire., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, Local & Legislative
Departments.

SECTT. Br. 28 MAR 1924 D. SECTION

— ML 23-23-15

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.L.2422/M1.23-23-13 dated the 24th February 1924 forwarding copy of letter No.C.647-2 dated 24/25th January 1924, from the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore and requesting to place before the Council the proposals made therein and forward their views, I have the honor to state as follows—

1. The letter of the Sanitary Commissioner was duly circulated to the members of the Council and the matter was discussed in their meetingheld on the 6th instant. The superfluity of the post of a Chief Sanitary Inspector which for various reasons was not suitable for the smooth conduct of work when a highly paid and fully qualified Health Officer was himself in-charge of the department and the necessity for restoring the original number of 6 Sanitary Inspectors to cope with the increased work due to the expansion of the City in all directions, were also explained to them. Neither the cogent reasoning contained in the letter of the Sanitary Commissioner nor the important bearings of the question explained in full and which were vital to the health of the Capital of the State attracting by virtue of its inherent importance a large

floating population during the annual and extraordinary ceremonial occasions, did not appeal themselves to some of the members of the Council and they have adhered to their former Resolution No.III dated 8th December 1923 " that the Municipal Council reserve their opinion in the matter till they have tried their original Resolution No.V dated 8th July 1922, till the end of the official year 1923-1924, " which for reasons explained at length in my previous reference on the subject is utterly impossible, and not consistent with Rules.

R

3. I am constrained to infer from the arguments put forth by the members who spoke on the subject that their attention on this important question appears to be as rightly stated by the Sanitary Commissioner in the last para of his letter under advertance viz that their whole attention seems to be centered on the problem of finding justification for the retention of an entirely unnecessary and superfluous appointment; I regret that the Council are persistent in discarding the important advice and opinion of the Sanitary Commissioner of the State given for the abiding interests of the Capital of the State wherein their Sovereign resides.

4. In view of maintaining efficient working of the Health Department and for the urgent needs of the City rapidly extending in all directions I am entirely at one with the Sanitary Commissioner that the post of the Chief Sanitary Inspector should be abolished and in its stead 3 Sanitary Inspectors including the Chief Sanitary Inspector, may be appointed so that the cadre and number of Sanitary Inspectors may be restored at least to what it was at the time when the expansion and improvement in the City was at the stage of inception.

5. I also submit copies of the Resolutions of the Council on the subject.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President.

Proceedings of the 18th Ordinary meeting of the City Municipal Council, Mysore, held in the Krishnaraja Memorial Hall on Thursday the 6th March 1924 at 8 A.M.

Present.

Rajasabhabhushana Diwan Bahadur.

C.Srikantesvara Aiyar, B.A.,B.L., President.

1. Mahomed Sait, Esq.,
2. K.Dhanakotiahetty, Esq.,
3. Y.Virupakshiah, Esq.,
4. Rev.J.Cochet,
5. V.Shivatopanda Hodaliyar, Esq.,
6. M.Madappa, Esq.,
7. L.Purushothama Anandagiri Goswamy, Esq.,
8. K.Narayanaswamy, Esq.,
9. Dharmaprakasa B.Somaiah, Esq.,
10. R.Setuaji Rao, Esq.,
11. P.S.Siddappa, Esq., L.M & S.,
12. K.K.Madhava Rao, Power, Esq.,
13. Lakshmanasastha Amble Annaiah Pandit, Esq.,
14. P.S.Anantha Rao, Esq.,
15. U.K.Lingaji Rao, Esq.,
16. K.Linga Raj Urs, Esq.,

Absent.

1. S. Ranganagarlahari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
2. B.Srinivasacharya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
3. Khan Bahadur P.Pallonji, Esq.,
4. Mahomed Jaffer Saheb, Esq.,
5. Charles Theobald, Esq.,
6. C.M.Abdul Aziz Saheb, Esq.,
7. Srikantachar, Esq.,
8. Jowar V.Thimmiah, Esq.,
9. Pippa Sultan Alli Khan, Esq.,
10. M.L.Vasudevish, Esq.,
11. Bettappa Siddappa, Esq.,
12. B.Ranivenkatappa, Esq.,
13. Rev.C.Edwards,

x x x

V. Health Officer's Memo requesting the increase of the number of Sanitary Inspectors for the City.

The Council do not consider it necessary to increase the number of Sanitary Inspectors, Rev.J.Cochet disagreeing and dissenting as he considers that more Inspectors are necessary.

x x x

Sd/-C.Srikantesvara Aiyar,
President.

" Copy "

President.

Proceedings of the 18th Ordinary meeting of the City Municipal Council, Mysore, held in the Rangacharlu Memorial Hall on Thursday the 6th March 1924 at 8 A.M.

Present.

Rajasabhabhushana Diwan Bahadur,

C.Srikantesvara Aiyar, B.A.,B.L., President.

1. Mahomed Sait, Esq.,
2. K.Dhanakotiahetty, Esq.,
3. Y.Virupakshiah, Esq.,
4. Rev.J.Cochet,
5. V.Thiruvengada Modaliyar, Esq.,
6. M.Madappa, Esq.,
7. K.Purushothama Anandagiri Goswamy, Esq.,
8. M.Narayanaswamy, Esq.,
9. Dharmaprakasa D.Banumiah, Esq.,
10. N.Setwaji Rao, Esq.,
11. T.B.Siddappa, Esq., B.A., L.L.& S.,
12. M.K.Madhava Rao, Power, Esq.,
13. Lokasevaniratha Amble Annioh Pandit, Esq.,
14. P.B.Anantha Rao, Esq.,
15. U.R.Lingoji Rao, Esq.,
16. H.Linguraj Urs, Esq.,

Absent.

1. S. Ranjagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
2. B.Bhavanrradhya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
3. Khan Bahadur P.Pallonji, Esq.,
4. Mahomed Jaffer Saheb, Esq.,
5. Charles Theobald, Esq.,
6. C.M.Abdul Aziz Pasha, Esq.,
7. Srikantachar, Esq.,
8. Bowser V.Thimmiah, Esq.,
9. Diwan Bahadur Ali Khan, Esq.,
10. M.L.Vasudevish, Esq.,
11. Settanna Siddappa, Esq.,
12. B.Muniveakatappa, Esq.,
13. Rev. J. Edwards,

x x x

VI. Letter No.L.8422/M1.23-23-13 dated 14th February 1924 from the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Departments, forwarding a copy of letter No.C.647-2 dated 25th January 1924 from the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore, regarding the increase of the number of Sanitary Inspectors in the Mysore City and requesting to forward the views of the Council, in the matter.

The President explained to the Council that on the Sanitary Commissioner who is the expert adviser of Government considering it was necessary to increase the number of Sanitary Inspectors, the

Government had called for the opinion of the Council matter— Some of the Councillors remarked that the interference by the Sanitary Commissioner in matters Municipal, was uncalled for. After some discussion it was resolved that their Resolution No.III dated 8th December 1923 "that the Municipal Council reserve their opinion in the matter, till they have tried their original Resolution No.V.dated 8th July 1922 till the end of the official year 1923-24" may be adhered to.

x x x

Sd/-C. Srikantesvara Aiyar,
President.

" Copy "

President.

G.R.S. VI G 31]

46

SUBJECT.....

Memo No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Read—

Order Thereon—No.

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

of the Board consider that they do not consider it necessary to increase the number of Survey Inspectors and that they reserve their opinion as regards the appointment of Chief Survey Inspector till they have tried the arrangement for a year i.e. the end of 1923-24.

Order no:—L8889-90

Recorded

17/4

for each Survey

17/4/24 17/4/24

the Survey Committee of the Board of Trade (Marine Department)

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1928—6PB—1,000,00—31-12-23